Minutes of 182th Meeting of UMC-Examination Committee/Appellate Committee held on 10th Sept. 2009 Under The Meeting was attended by the following members:-

- 1 Shri M.P. Gupta, Secretary, HSBTE
- 2 Dr. Sunil Dutt,NTTTR Chandigarh
- 3 Principal, GP Ambala City
- 4 Principal SJP Damla
- 5 Shri J.S.Arya Controlar of Exam, HSBTE

Sr. No	Packet	Name & Address	Roll No.	Centre/	Subject/	Decision of UMC Committee	Decision of Appellate	Remarks
	No.			Institution	Semester/ Trade		Committtee	
1	1	Naveen s/o Sh. Omprakash, Vill Isherheri, PO Sidspur, Lowa, Bahadurgarh (Jhajjar)	062010052	PDM Sarai Aurangabad, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar	Instrumentation/ 6th Sem/ Eltx. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate did not appear before the committee. After examining the record, it has been decided by the committee to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination Rule 19(b).	

_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-
	2	5	Ramesh s/o Sh.	0707309022	SBCM Ladwa	Basic Eltx./ 3rd	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
			Jagdish Chander, VPO		Hisar	Sem/ Elect. Engg.	before the committee and he	the committee .He/She was	
			Gangwa, Hisar				was given full opportunity to	given due opportunity both in	
							defend his case in verbal as	writing and verbal in personal	
							well as in written. After giving	appearance. The committee did	
							due cognizance to his	not find any merit in the	
							statement, material, facts on	request of the candidate.The	
							record, the committee	committee decided to uphold	
							observed that the candidate	the decision of the earlier	
							was guilty under State Board	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
							Examination Rule 19 (b) and	candidate for one year under	
							as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
							disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	
ľ	3	6	Anil s/o Sh. Kuldeep,	0706417009	SBIT Ladwa	App. Phy./ 2nd	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
ľ	3	6	Anil s/o Sh. Kuldeep, #1292, Housing Board	0706417009	SBIT Ladwa Hisar	App. Phy./ 2nd Sem/ Mechanical	The candidate appeared before the committee and he	The candidate appeared before the committee .He/She was	
	3	6	• •	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical			
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board	0706417009			before the committee and he	the committee .He/She was	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and such the candidate is	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and such the candidate is	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and such the candidate is	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	3	6	#1292, Housing Board Colony, Sec-15A,	0706417009		Sem/ Mechanical	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and such the candidate is	the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	

4	7	Deepak Kumar S/o Sh.	074408013	BITS Sarsa	App. Mathematics/	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
		Inder Singh, VPO		Ghogra,	2nd Sem/	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
		Baroda, Teh. Gohana,		Bhiwani	Computer Engg.	was given full oppurtunity to	given due opportunity both in	
		Distt. Sonipat.				defend his case in verbal as	writing and verbal in personal	
						well as in written. After giving	appearance. The committee did	
						due cognizance to his	not find any merit in the	
						statement, material, facts on	request of the candidate. The	
						record, the committee	committee decided to uphold	
						observed that the candidate	the decision of the earlier	
						was guilty under State Board	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
						Examination Rule 19 (b) and	candidate for one year under	
						as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
						disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	
						'		
5	16	IMPERSONATION	040523048	GP Jhaijar	Ind. Enga./ 5th	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
5	16	IMPERSONATION Sandeen Kumar s/o	040523048	GP Jhajjar	Ind. Engg./ 5th	The candidate appeared before the committee and he	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o		GP Jhajjar	Ind. Engg./ 5th Sem/ Prod. Engg.	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under state board examination Rule	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under state board examination Rule	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under state board examination Rule	
5	16	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh.Kapoor Singh, VPO Mirchpur, Teh.		GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two years under state board examination Rule	

6	6	18	Asha Rani D/o Sh. K.P Singh, RB-III/ 16A, West Railway Colony, Ballabgarh	070302061	GPW Faridabad	Str. Mechnics/ 4th Sem/ Architecture Assisting	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 19 (b).	The candidate did not appear before the committee. After examining the record, it has been decided by the committee to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination Rule 19(b).	
7	7	19	Neeraj s/o Sh. Dharam Singh, Adarsh Nagar Malarna Road, Near Balaji Public School, Faridabad	0802521020	DVCP Tigaon	Human Anatomy & Physiology/ 1st Sem/ Pharmacy	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee observed that the candidate was guilty under state board examination Rule 19 (a) and paper in this subject is cancelled.	

8	27	Harish Malik s/o Sh. Niranjan Malik, 81/31 Mayur Vihar, Gali No. 2, Gohana Road Sonepat	064008019	Janta poly Butana, Sonepat	Enterprenuership Development & Mgt./ 6th Sem/ Common	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualitied for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
9	32	Sachin Gulia s/o Sh. Ranbir Singh Gulia, Vill. Khoh PO Manesar, Distt. Gurgaon	0707509807	Mukhi Poly., Gohana, Sonepat	Elect. & Eltx. Engg. Materials/ 3rd Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 19 (b).	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

·								
10	35	Deepak s/o Sh.Atar Singh, #59/5, Near Boys School, Ganaur, Sonepat	071910028	HIT Sonepat	App. Physics/ 2nd Sem/ Common	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verball in personal appearance.The committee observed that the candidate was guilty under state board examination Rule 19 (a) and hai paper in this subject is cancelled.	
11	39	Pankaj Kumar s/o Sh. Harpal Singh, VPO Sambalkha Distt. Ambala	0812710029	E-max Polytechnic College, Vill. Gola, PO Bhadauli, Distt. Ambala.	2nd Sem Subjective Test	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 19 (b).	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

12	45	Deepika D/o Sh. Dhanpat Chauhan, VPO Rajakheri, Panipat	070308014	GPW Faridabad	Object Oriented Programming Using C++/ 4th Sem/ Computer Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
13	48	Deepak Kumar S/o Sh. Fatehchand, VPO Bhoria Khera, Distt. Fatehbad	076807010	Guru Dronacharya Polytechnic, Vill. Bhuna, Distt. Fatehbad	Concrete Technology/ 4th Sem/ Civil Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

_									
	14	57	Varun Sharma s/o Sh.	074808062	SD SNIET	Object Oriented	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
			Ashok Sharma,		Ladwa, Hisar	1 5 5	before the committee and he	the committee. He/She was	
			#421/17, Bakshi			C++/ 4th Sem/	was given full opportunity to	given due opportunity both in	
			Nagar, Near Girls			Computer Engg.	defend his case in verbal as	writing and verbal in personal	
			RVTI, Hisar				well as in written. After giving	appearance.The committee did	
							due cognizance to his	not find any merit in the	
							statement, material, facts on	request of the candidate.The	
							record, the committee	committee decided to uphold	
							observed that the candidate	the decision of the earlier	
							was guilty under State Board	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
							Examination Rule 19 (b) and	candidate for one year under	
							as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
							disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	
							-		
r	15	59	Meenakshi D/o Sh.	073308016	GP Nilokheri	Relational Data	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
								The canada appeared service	
			Sunil Anand, #38-G,			Base Mgt./ 4th	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
			Sunil Anand, #38-G, Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Base Mgt./ 4th Sem/ Computer	• •		
						Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-				before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
			Neel Nagar, Nilokheri-			Sem/ Computer	before the committee and he was given full oppurtunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to her statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	

16	60	Neeraj Sharma s/o Sh.	070909564	GP Nilokheri	Fundamentals of	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
		Parveen Kumar, VPO			Electrical Engg./3rd	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
		Choura Khalsa,			Sem/ Elect. Engg.	was given full oppurtunity to	given due opportunity both in	
		(Dabkoli) Distt. Karnal				defend his case in verbal as	writing and verbal in personal	
						well as in written. After giving	appearance. The committee did	
						due cognizance to his	not find any merit in the	
						statement, material, facts on	request of the candidate.The	
						record, the committee	committee decided to uphold	
						observed that the candidate	the decision of the earlier	
						was guilty under State Board	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
						Examination Rule 19 (b) and	candidate for one year under	
						as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
						disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	
17								
	l 62	lavnal Singh c/o Sh	070517522	CP Ibaliar	Matariale &	The candidate anneared	The candidate appeared before	
17	62	Jaypal Singh s/o Sh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Materials &	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar		before the committee and he was given full opportunity to	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
17	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
	62	Dharam Singh, VPO Alewa, Distt. Jind, Teh.	070517522	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	

18	63	Vipin Saharan s/o Sh.	0700517813	GP Jhajjar	Materials &	The candidate appeared	The candidate appeared before	
		Wazir Singh, VPO			Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee and he	the committee.He/She was	
		Bhali Anandpur, Distt.			Sem/ Mech. Engg.	was given full opportunity to	given due opportunity both in	
		Rohtak				defend his case in verbal as	writing and verbal in personal	
						well as in written. After giving	appearance. The committee did	
						due cognizance to his	not find any merit in the	
						statement, material, facts on	request of the candidate.The	
						record, the committee	committee decided to uphold	
						observed that the candidate	the decision of the earlier	
						was guilty under State Board	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
						Examination Rule 19 (b) and	candidate for one year under	
						as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
						disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	
19	67	Mohd. Ameen s/o Sh.	070517540	GP Jhaiiar	Materials &	The candidate did not appear	The candidate appeared before	
19	67	Mohd. Ameen s/o Sh. Sarwar Khan, VPO	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Materials & Metallurgy/ 4th	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has	the committee.He/She was	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar		before the committee. It has been decided by the	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the	the committee.He/She was	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	
19	67	Sarwar Khan, VPO Danoda Kalan, Distt.	070517540	GP Jhajjar	Metallurgy/ 4th	before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule	

20	71	Surender Kumar s/o Sh. Satpal Singh, Vill. Pilkhana, PO Nakur, Distt. Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh	074410119	BITS Sarsa Ghogra, Bhiwani	Computer Programming & Applications/ 4th Sem/ ECE	The candidate appeared before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the	
						20 (b).	request of the candidate. The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 20 (b)	
21	73	Takdir Singh s/o Sh. Jagat Singh, VPO Titoli, Distt. Rohtak	0706509815	Sheetal Polytechnic, Kiloi, Rohtak	Estimating & Costing In Elect. Engg./ 4th Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

	ı	· · · - · · · · ·			T :	r <u> </u>	T	
22	75	Jai Ram s/o Sh. Ram	071817009	VTI Rohtak	Strength of	The candidate did not appear	The candidate appeared before	
		Rakh c/o Sh. Virender			Materials/ IV Sem/	before the committee. It has	the committee.He/She was	
		s/o Sh. Tara Chand,			Mech. Engg.	been decided by the	given due opportunity both in	
		#2512/34, Amrit				committee to disqualify the	writing and verbal in personal	
		Colony, Rohtak				candidate for one year under	appearance. The committee did	
						State Board Examination rule	not find any merit in the	
						19 (b) 20 (k) (i).	request of the candidate.The	
							committee decided to uphold	
							the decision of the earlier	
							committee i.e. to disqualify the	
							candidate for one year under	
							state board examination Rule	
							19 (b) 20 (k) (i).	
23	76	Parvesh Kumar s/o	071310028	GP Sonepat	Basic Elect. Engg./	The candidate did not appear	The candidate did not	
2.5	70	Sh. Satpal Singh,	07 1310020	Oi Sonepat	2nd Sem/ ECE	before the committee. It has	appear before the	
		Tehsil Camp, Vikas			Ziid Seiii/ LCL	been decided by the	committee. After examining	
		Nagar, Panipat				committee to disqualify the	the record, it has been	
		Magar, Parlipat				candidate for one year under	· ·	
							decided by the committee	
						State Board Examination rule	to uphold the decision of	
						19 (b).	the earlier committee i.e.	
							to disqualify the candidate	
							for one year under State	
							Board Examination Rule	
							19(b).	
1	1							

24	77	Vinod Kumar s/o Sh.Baljeet Singh, Vill. Ishrana (Mandimoor), Ara Market, Jaj Bharat Trading Co Ishrana, Panipat	071908098	HIT Sonepat	Object Oriented Programming Using C++/ 4th Sem/ Computer Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
25	79	Rohit Pannu s/o sh. Jagjit Pannu, #782 VPO Bichpari, Tehsil Gohana, Distt. Sonepat	071915038	HIT Sonepat	Applied Chemistry/ lind Sem/ Common I.C.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

26	26	Dheeraj Bhateja s/o Sh. Arjun Bhateja, #373, W.No.8, Main Bazar, Gohana, Sonepat	064008018	Janta Poly, Butana, Sonepat	Enterprenuership Development & Mgt./ 6th Sem/ Common	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and 20 (k) (i) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	Enquiry Pending.	
27	15	Navin Kumar s/o Sh. Dharamvir, VPO Mundhal Hisar	071717032	CRP Rohtak	Machine Drawing/ 3rd Sem/ Mech. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

	•							
28	72	Sunil Sharma s/o Sh. Raj Kishan, VPO Khayak Kalan, Mamnan Panna, Distt. Bhiwani	074410116	BITS Sarsa Ghogra, Bhiwani	Computer Programming & Applications/ 3rd/4th Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 20 (b)	
29	2	Sanjay Kumar s/o Sh. Anil Kumar, Jain Chowk, Near Geeta Bhawan, Malan Gali, Bhiwani, Haryana	062010154	PDM Sarai Aurangabad, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar	Instrumentation/ 6th Sem/ Eltx. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualitied for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee .He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

30	11	IMPERSONATION Dinesh Punia s/o Sh. Azaib Singh, #240 Mela ground Area, Hisar	040614011	GP Adampur	App. Mathematics/ 2nd Sem/ I.T	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (e) and as such the candidate is disqualified for two years.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for two year under state board examination Rule 20 (e)	
31	46	Ritu D/o Sh. Ishwar Singh, #207, DC Colony, Bhiwani	070208581	KCGPW, Ambala	Windows & LinuxOperating System/ 4th Sem/ Computer Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

			1					
32	41	Varsha D/o Sh. Vijender, #452, Housing Board, Sec-7, Bahadurgarh	070510116	GP Jhajjar	Electrical Machines/ 3rd Sem/ Eltx. & Comm.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
33	33	Sandeep Kumar s/o Sh. Surat Singh, Block Education office, Ganaur, Sonepat	0802421061	Hindu College of Pharmacy, Sonepat	Pharmeceutic-I/ 1st Year/ Pharmacy	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 20 (b).	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 20 (b)	

34	20	Mahender s/o Sh. Ramesh, Vill. Hasanpur Near BL Public School, Ganj Mohalla, Vill Hasanpur, Teh. Hodal,	0802521014	DVCP Tigaon	Human Anatomy & Physiology/ 1st Sem/ Pharmacy	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did	
		Distt. Palwal				cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	not find any merit in the request of the candidate. The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
35	53	Anil Kumar s/o Sh. Jagdish Parshad, VPO Kamania, Teh. Narnaul, Distt. Mohendergarh, Haryana	050809606	BKN, GP Narnaul	Electronic Devices & Circuits/ 4th Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 20 (k) (i) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee &He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verball in personal appearance. The committee observed that the candidate was guilty under state board examination Rule 19 (a) and hai paper in this subject is cancelled.	

	T	T. (DED.) C. (1) C. (1)	00100-011	*****	1		T 11 1 11 11	
36	42	IMPERSONATION	031807011	VTI Rohtak	Applied Mechanics/	The candidate appeared before	In this case, the candidate	
		Ashwani Kumar s/o Sh.			2nd Sem/ Common	the committee and he was given	Yogesh Kumar appeared	
		Shri Bhagwan, Mohalla				full opportunity to defend his	before the committee. He was	
		Kabosia, VPO Kharwar,				case in verbal as well as in	given due opportunity both in	
		Rohtak				written. After giving due	writing and verbal in personal	
						cognizance to his statement,	appearance. The committee did	
						material, facts on record, the	not find any merit in the	
						committee observed that the	request of the candidate. The	
						candidates involved in this case	committee decided to uphold	
						i.e. Ashwani Kumar Roll No.	the decision of the earlier	
						031807077, Yogesh (4th Sem,	committee i.e. to disqualify all the candidates for two years	
						civil Engg.) Roll No. 071807058,	under state board examination	
						Ravinder Kumar (6th Sem Civil		
						Engg.) were guilty under State	Kuic 20 (c).	
						Board Examination Rule 20 (e)		
						and as such the candidates are		
						1. 1.0. 1.0		
37	69	Anu Phor D/o Sh.	070517511	GP Jhajjar	Thermodynamics/	The candidate did not appear	The candidate appeared before	
		Jagbir Singh Phor, VPO			3rd Sem/ Mech.	before the committee. It has	the committee.He/She was	
		Sheikhpura			Engg./ Prod.	been decided by the committee	given due opportunity both in	
		(Gharaunda), Distt.				to disqualify the candidate for	writing and verbal in personal	
		Karnal				one year under State Board	appearance. The committee did	
						Examination rule 19 (b).	not find any merit in the	
						` '	request of the candidate. The	
							committee decided to uphold	
							the decision of the earlier	
							committee i.e. to disqualify the	
	1						candidate for one year under	
							state board exercises D1-	
							state board examination Rule	
							state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

38	68	Sonu s/o Sh. Ishwar Singh, Power House Colony, Sec-23, Faridabad	070509600	GP Jhajjar	Basic Eltx./ 3rd Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
39	61	Manjeet Kumar s/o Sh. Satbir, VPO Bhainswal Kalan, Teh. Gohana, Distt. Sonepat	060517773	GP Jhajjar	Materials & Metallurgy/ 4th Sem/ Mech. Engg.	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 19 (b).	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

40	47	Rajendar Pal s/o Sh. Gurmal Singh, Vill. Mansoor, PO Durana, Distt. Ambala	070102529	GP Ambala	Str. Mechnics/ 4th Sem/ Architecture Assisting	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier	
41	40	Rani Devi D/o Sh. Late	0802119025	Janta College	2nd Sem Subjective	Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year. The candidate appeared before	committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b) The candidate appeared before	
71	TU	Sh. Ajmer Singh, VPO Bhali Anand Pur Distt. Rohtak, Teh. Kalanaur	0002119023	of Pharmacy, Butana, Sonepat	Test	the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	the candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

42	13	Manoj Kumar s/o Sh.	072607011	GP Sanghi	Chemistry/ 1st	The candidate did not appear	The candidate appeared before	1
'-	13	Ram-Dhari, VPO	072007011	or oungin	Sem/ Civil Engg.	before the committee. It has	the committee.He/She was	
		Julhera, Teh. Narwana,				been decided by the committee	given due opportunity both in	
		Distt. Jind				to disqualify the candidate for	writing and verbal in personal	
						one year under State Board	appearance.The committee did	
						Examination rule 19 (b).	not find any merit in the	
						()	request of the candidate. The	
							committee decided to uphold	
							the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the	
							candidate for one year under	
							state board examination Rule	
							19 (b)	
43	49	Hari Om Khurana s/o	0802221033	Gandhi College	Health Education &	The candidate appeared before	The candidate appeared before	
		Sh. Ramesh Kumar, 54		of Pharmacy,	Communication/ 1st	the committee and he was given	the committee.He/She was	
		Friends Colony, Kaithal		Karnal	Sem/ Pharmacy	full opportunity to defend his	given due opportunity both in	
		Road, Karnal				case in verbal as well as in	writing and verbal in personal	
						written. After giving due	appearance. The committee did	
						cognizance to his statement,	not find any merit in the request of the candidate. The	
						material, facts on record, the	committee decided to uphold	
						committee observed that the	the decision of the earlier	
						candidate was guilty under State	committee i.e. to disqualify the	
						Board Examination Rule 19 (b)	candidate for one year under	
						and as such the candidate is	state board examination Rule	
						disqualified for one year.	19 (b)	

44	78	Varun Verma s/o Sh. Kuldeep Verma, 654, Sec-15, Sonepat-131001	071908101	HIT Sonepat	Object Oriented Programming Using C++/ 4th Sem/ Computer Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
45	51	Anil Kumar s/o Sh. Mahender Singh, Vill. Jaffarpur, PO Gachikham, Teh. Shamli, Distt. Mujaffarnagar (UP)	072221008	Gandhi College of Pharmacy, Karnal	Pharm. Chem-I./ 2nd Year/ Pharmacy	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

46	52	Sonu Ram s/o Sh. Majer Singh, VPO Nehra, Distt. Jind- 126116	075609043	Bhagwan Parshuram Poly. Kurukshetra	Fundamentals of Electrical Engg./3rd Sem/ Elect. Engg.	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	
47	23	Sandeep Singh s/o Sh. Satbir Singh, VPO Rajkheri, Teh. & Distt. Panipat	0804010106	Janta College of Pharmacy, Butana, Sonepat	2nd Sem Subjective Test	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is disqualified for one year.	The candidate appeared before the committee &He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance. The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate. The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	

48	10	Vikash Yadav s/o Sh. Dharamvir, Vill. Jamawari, Teh. Hansi, Distt. Hisar	070425124	GP Hisar	Fabric Manufacture/ 3rd Sem/ Textile Design	The candidate appeared before the committee and he was given full opportunity to defend his case in verbal as well as in written. After giving due cognizance to his statement, material, facts on record, the committee observed that the candidate was guilty under State Board Examination Rule 19 (b) and as such the candidate is	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under	
49	31	Sonu s/o Sh. Ramesh Chander, West Ramnagar, Sonepat	077509055	Mukhi Poly., Gohana, Sonepat	App. Phy./ IInd Sem/ Common (Elect.)	The candidate did not appear before the committee. It has been decided by the committee to disqualify the candidate for one year under State Board Examination rule 19 (b).	The candidate appeared before the committee.He/She was given due opportunity both in writing and verbal in personal appearance.The committee did not find any merit in the request of the candidate.The committee decided to uphold the decision of the earlier committee i.e. to disqualify the candidate for one year under state board examination Rule 19 (b)	